

**Tracy, Mary**

---

**From:** OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK  
**Sent:** Monday, July 16, 2018 10:57 AM  
**To:** Tracy, Mary  
**Subject:** FW: proposed G.R. 3.7

Here you go

---

**From:** Darrow, Tobin [mailto:tdarrow@co.snohomish.wa.us]  
**Sent:** Friday, July 13, 2018 3:36 PM  
**To:** OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>  
**Subject:** proposed G.R. 3.7

All of these rules appear quite burdensome on already overworked police and prosecutors, but I am especially concerned about proposed CrR 3.7. Let's think about what the outcome of this would be for traffic stop situations, or serious injury collisions (which are cases I handle). So often the best evidence of who was driving comes in the moments after law enforcement arrives on scene. An initial admission of driving is sometimes the only evidence we have to prove who was driving the causing vehicle. Most agencies in Snohomish County do not have audio visual equipment. Is this an exigent circumstance? I have no confidence a court would so find. Without those initial statements there is no case. This could make many Vehicular Homicides and assaults impossible to prove. I feel confident that is not what the Supreme Court intends.

Thank you for your consideration.

Tobin Darrow 17837  
425-388-3697